



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/063,477	04/20/1998	ROBERT A. HOLTON	FSU-10302	4505	
321 7	590 03/06/2006		EXAMINER		
SENNIGER POWERS			DESAI, RITA J		
ONE METROI 16TH FLOOR	POLITAN SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ST LOUIS, MO 63102			1625		

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Α	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/063,477	HOLTON ET A	HOLTON ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
			Rita J. Desai	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover she	et with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, car	E OF THIS COMMI a) In no event, however, m apply and will expire SIX (6) use the application to becore	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 16 Dece	ember 2005.					
·			ction is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition	for allowance	e except for formal i	matters, prosecution as to	the merits is			
-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-19 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	lection requirement	•				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ted or b) objected	d to by the Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any obje	-	, ,	•	a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 040)		iew Summary (PTO-413) · No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/11/2005</u> .			e of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/063,477

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-19 are pending.

The rejection of claims 1,3-5 under 35 USC 102 over Kant et al. has been withdrawn, since applicant's have amended the claims to clearly specify that the starting taxane has C(7) and C(10) hydroxyl groups and only the (C10) is selectively acylated..

The rejection of the claims 1-19 under 35 USC 103 over F. Gueritte-Voegelein et al and Kant et al is also withdrawn now since applicants have amended the claims the prior art does not teach a selective acylation in 1M or less of base. The starting taxol is also not a 7 and 10 hydroxy form.

New grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

To satisfy the Written description requirement, applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to one skilled in the art, as of the filing date that applicant were in possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's claims are drawn to a process of making taxane derivatives

Application/Control Number: 09/063,477 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

wherein the X2, X3, X4-X14 and Z9 have hydrocarbyl substituted hydrocarbyl, hetero aryl groups as substitutions. The specification on pages 6 and 7 have the recitation of the hydrocarbyl and heteroaryl. There is no definition given to these groups. The specification gives no guidance to one of ordinary skill in the art which groups are encompassed by this definition. The next one is protective group. The specification again does not define the groups in this category. The generic groups includes plethora of compounds, which has this functional moieties.

The expression "hydrocarbyl, hetero aromatic, protective group" without i.e. partial or complete definition does not convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that applicants were in possession of the claimed subject matter. The functional language recited without any correlation does not meet the written description requirement for the expression "hydrocarbyl, hetero aromatic, protective group " as one of ordinary skill in the art could not recognize or understand the structure from the mere recitation of the function. Claims employing functional language at the point of novelty, such as applicants', neither provide those elements required to practice the inventions, nor "inform the public" during the life of the patent of the limits of the monopoly asserted. The expression could encompass a myriad of compounds and applicants claimed expression represents only an

invitation to experiment regarding possible compounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1625

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sisti US 5750736.

Applicants claim is drawn to a process of selective acylation of the C(10) hydroxyl group in less than 1 equivalent of a base.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

The Sisti reference uses the C(7) and C(10) hydroxyl taxol and selectively acylates the C(10) group.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The reference uses n-butyl lithium in atleast 1 equivalent amount for the process.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

The reference proceeds with the same reaction and uses the same reagents, starting material solvent. Modifying the conditions by decreasing the base is not patentably distinct in the absence of a showing of unexpected results. The specification do not have any showing that by using less base the reaction/process has any unexpected results.

Conclusion

Claims 1-19 are not found to be allowable.

Jean-Noel Denis also uses a base more than 1 equivalent...

Application/Control Number: 09/063,477 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625

R.D. March 2nd, 2006.